



TOWN OF WINDHAM
Windham High School Renovation
OSCG PROJECT #163-0079 & TMP-163-ZXVJ
Request for Proposal for
Geotechnical Engineering Services

Addenda #1
July 17, 2019

The following Addenda #1 dated 7-17-2019 is being issued for Geotechnical Services for Windham High School. Please note that there is no change in the RFQ/RFP due date.

I. Responses to Questions:

1. In reviewing the RFP, it appears that the insurance coverage requirements are quite high (maybe abnormally high?). Could you confirm that the required coverage is correct and there isn't a typo? In particular, the \$40M in umbrella seems quite high.

Response – Revise Umbrella Each Occurrence and (Excess liability) Aggregate from \$40,000,000 to \$3,000,000

2. Under "Submission" on page 7, the RFP indicates to submit "One (1) electronic copy and thirteen (10) copies of each" – could you please clarify the number of hard copies to be submitted?

Response – Submit Ten (10) hard copies of the proposal

3. I wanted to clarify the number of test borings: 4 at the building additions, 6 PB series at detention areas, and 11 SB series at paved areas. We are planning to drill the borings from 20 to 30 ft. at the building additions, 15 ft. at detention areas, and 10 ft. at paved areas.

Response - Exhibit A shows 6 borings (B1 through B6) – B1 through B4 are located at the building additions as shown on Exhibit C. B5 should be disregarded however B6 should be included for a total of 5 borings for Exhibit A. Exhibit B has 6 PB series at detention areas, and 11 SB series at paved areas.

4. I wanted to clarify that the RFP requires a total of 12 in-place permeability tests, at 6 and 12 ft. at each of the PB series borings.

Response - Yes. We need to establish the soil infiltration rate at various depths, to find the best soil available for infiltration. If the soil is suitable for infiltration, we would like to take an advantage of that and reduce the detention/retention basin size.

5. The RFP does not request installation of groundwater observation wells; I would think a few wells at the potential detention areas and one at the 6488 sq. ft. building addition would be prudent, particularly in view of the dense glacial till soil that is expected.

Response - We believe that the groundwater elevation could be identified from borings for the detention/retention basin depth establishment. No need for the groundwater observation wells. But, if there an environmental concern, and soil or/and groundwater sampling are proposed, MCA has no objections to the groundwater observation wells installation. The environmental consultant may have an opinion.