

Connecticut Lottery Corporation (CLC)

Request for Proposal #CLC201905

Addendum 1, 6-25-19

Response to Law Firm Questions

Question 1: The firm is adverse to the State on matters including environmental. Does our representation of clients adverse to the State create any potential conflict issues in performing work for the Lottery?

Response: The only potential issue would be if the firm represents or is adverse to the Department of Consumer Protection; DCP is the CT Lottery Corporation's (CLC) regulator.

Question 2: The firm regularly files registrations with the Department of Consumer Protection to register charities to solicit charitable contributions from the public. We don't have much interaction other than helping the client prepare and submit the form. Does this create a potential conflict of interest in performing work for the Lottery?

Response: The CLC does not view that as a conflict of interest.

Question 3: In relation to Section IV. B, Question 4. b., how is CLC classifying positions (Senior Partner, Junior Partner, Senior Associate or Junior Associate)?

Response: The CLC used those position names simply as examples. The CLC is not classifying positions. Classification of positions, including others not listed in the RFP, is up to the firm.

Question 4: Is a firm able to specify other positions such as Of Counsel, Counsel, Paralegal, etc. or are we limited to Senior Partner, Junior Partner, Senior Associate or Junior Associate?

Response: Yes, firms may specify positions other than those listed in the RFP.

Question 5: How do you define senior partner, junior partner, senior associate, and junior associate?

Response: See the CLC's response to Question 3.

Question 6: Can different practice areas submit different hourly rates and/or AFAs?

Response: Yes.

Question 7: Regarding question 3 of IV. Proposal Content, A. Firm Profile, we are seeking clarification on what is meant by “any recognized gaming entity, state or local government entity.” Does this include states other than Connecticut and local government entities outside of Connecticut? Does this include state agencies like universities?

Response: The operative language in the referenced section is whether the firm’s relationship with the types of entities listed “may constitute or create the appearance of a conflict of interest in serving as outside counsel to the CLC.” By “recognized gaming entity”, the CLC is referring to gambling entities such as Connecticut’s two casinos and its off-track betting facilities. In the context of the RFP, “state or local government entity” refers solely to Connecticut and its local government entities. Yes, the term could include state agencies such as universities if there could be a conflict of interest.

Question 8: Does the CLC intend to consider responses from firms outside the state?

Response: The CLC will consider responses from out-of-state firms only if the firm has an office in Connecticut.