



Addendum No.: 3

Date Of Addendum: 10/1/18

CT DAS | Construction Services | Office of Legal Affairs, Policy, and Procurement

Master Plan Phase III Renovations and Additions  
Norwalk Community College  
188 Richards Ave.  
Norwalk, CT  
BI – CTC – 467

Bid Due Date / Time:

October 10<sup>th</sup>, 2018

1:00 pm

Previous Addendums: Addendum #2 dated 9/26/2018, Addendum #1 dated 9/7/2018

**TO: Prospective Bid Proposers:**

This Addendum forms part of the "Contract Documents" and modifies or clarifies the original "Contract Documents" for this Project dated 06/01/18. Prospective Bid Proposers **shall** acknowledge receipt of the total number the Addenda issued for this Project on the space provided on Section 00 41 00 Bid Proposal Form.

**Failure to acknowledge receipt of the total number the Addenda issued for this Project on the space provided on Section 00 41 00 Bid Proposal Form shall subject Bid Proposers to disqualification.**

The following clarifications are applicable to drawings and specifications for the project referenced above.

**Item 1:**

Question: Drawings A1.03S to A1.03S has a demolition legend in which the box that states "Remove Roof Assembly down to structural deck". This box appears to be blank but upon magnification has small dots. It appears that only the roofs on drawing A1.02S have these same marking but is very hard to tell. Please clearly indicate what roofing has this marking.

Response: A1.02S from Grid BA to AF and A4 to A11 remove existing roof assembly down to structural deck as noted. See also A1.03T for Theater roof demolition, remove as noted on the roof plan.

**Item 2:**

Question: Drawing A1.01S general demolition note 1 tells us to remove flooring. What is the flooring in the cafeteria and mail room?

Response: Refer to Hazardous Materials HM series drawings, flooring materials are to be removed as ACM.

**Item 3:**

Question: The specification book does not contain a wood flooring spec. Please advise.

Response: Wood flooring refers to the Theater stage floor assembly. See A7.60T for stage floor assembly and Section 06 20 00 Carpentry.

**Item 4:**

Question: Drawing A9.06S note 1 says to see A7.0 for interior color schedule. We do not have that drawing. Please advise.

Response: A7.00 is an Architectural Common sheet. See G0.01 drawing list for the organization of the Student Center, Theater and Architectural Common drawings.

**Item 5:**

Question: Per FM Global our loads on the Student Center will be 100 psf typically and 115 psf at corners. They show the curtain walls free standing from 272" up to 294". This is not going to work. There is a horizontal steel structure at approximately 175" AFF typically. This steel's main purpose is to support the sun shade and I don't think their design was to also support the curtain wall. This steel channel is approximately 15" behind the back of our system and is fully exposed to view. I think we need approval to anchor to this structure.



**Addendum No.: 3**

**Date Of Addendum: 10/1/18**

Response: Per FM Global Data sheet 1-28, it is acceptable to use the alternate FM Global calculation per ASCE 7-10 for wind load pressures. The design intent of the system members is to span without intermediate wind load bracing; bidders shall size mullions (the basis of design mullion is 10 ½" deep) and include all necessary internal mullion reinforcing steel to meet FM Global wind load requirements.

**Item 6:**

Question: Refer to C101B Student Center Utility Plan and C501, C502 and C503 Site Utility Details the sanitary sewer structures "GT-1" 2 Each, which based on the structure table on plan C101B are 1,500 Gallon Grease Traps, note there is no detail showing this structure, please clarify .

Response: Refer to the plumbing drawings and specifications for the grease interceptor documentation. Refer to P3.00S for grease interceptor details.

**Item 7:**

Question: Per drawing S1.01S Student Center Foundation Plan notes # 9, Contractor shall estimate average ½" self leveling compound for the entire first floor area for architectural finish requirement. Spec section 035416 Cement leveling compound 1.3.a shows ¼" minimum. A leveling compound is also mentioned in spec section 096519 and 096813. This could be a big difference in cost. Please clarify. Also, we did not see this note in the Theater Foundation Plan. Please also clarify if 035416 spec applies to theater and what thickness.

Response: Estimate ½" of self-leveling compound for all the existing floor areas in the Student Center in the scope of work. The Theater foundation is not expected to require self-leveling compound.

**Item 8:**

Question: Spec section 013526 Government Safety Requirements 1.6.B show to provide Site Safety and Health Officer (SSHO) at work site at all times . Please confirm that this personnel is required to be at the job site everyday throughout the duration of the project.

Response: It is a requirement of the State Department of Construction Services that a person trained as an SSHO is on site every day throughout the duration of the project for a project of this type and size.

**Item 9:**

Question: Spec section 012000 Contract Considerations, Unit Price Schedule item # 1, 2, 25, 26 made references to spec sections 028213 & 028319 for quantities. These spec sections did not show these missing quantities, please provide.

Response: Lead was not identified in the lead-based determination. Quantities not provided as lead not identified, and the tech spec is provided to satisfy OSHA lead awareness requirements.

Refer to section 3.7 in lead awareness spec for additional language. As a precautionary measure we assumed inaccessible structural steel to contain lead. Unit Price Schedule items 25 and 26 shall be provided for lead removal as means and methods in work on existing structural steel. Item 25 is lead to be removed as non-hazardous waste per TCLP waste characterization. Item 26 is lead to be removed as hazardous waste per TCLP. This is explained in 3.7.

**Item 10:**

Question: Regarding the finish on the millwork counters for the serving line. It's been specified to have a spray on finish (3 coat pain system, Scuffmaster, ambient Design). Can we substitute for a stained finish instead?

Response: No, a stained finish is not acceptable.

**Item 11:**

Effective 10/1/18 no more questions will be responded to and or answered.

**Addendum No.: 3****Date Of Addendum: 10/1/18**

All questions must be written (not verbal or by phone) and must be forwarded to the consulting Architect/Engineer (John Doherty, Email: Doherty@MitchellGiurgola.com) with copies sent to the DAS/CS Project Manager (Lisa Humble, Email: Lisa.Humble@ct.gov) and Construction Manager (Chris Haley, Email: Chris.Haley@Whiting-Turner.com)

**End of Addendum 3**

Mellanee Walton, Associate Fiscal Administrative Officer  
State of Connecticut

Department of Administrative Services, Construction Services  
Office of Legal Affairs, Policy, and Procurement



**Addendum No.: 3**

**Date Of Addendum: 10/1/18**

All questions must be written (not verbal or by phone) and must be forwarded to the consulting Architect/Engineer (John Doherty, Email: Doherty@MitchellGiurgola.com) with copies sent to the DAS/CS Project Manager (Lisa Humble, Email: Lisa.Humble@ct.gov) and Construction Manager (Chris Haley, Email: Chris.Haley@Whiting-Turner.com)

**End of Addendum 3**

---

**Mellanee Walton, Associate Fiscal Administrative Officer  
State of Connecticut  
Department of Administrative Services, Construction Services  
Office of Legal Affairs, Policy, and Procurement**